arXiv:1602.04233v1 [gr-qc] 12 Feb 2016

Absorption Cross-section and Decay Rate of Rotating Linear Dilaton Black Holes

I. Sakalli, O. A. Aslan

Physics Department , Eastern Mediterranean University, Famagusta, Northern Cyprus, Mersin 10, Turkey.

Abstract

We analytically study the scalar perturbation of non-asymptotically flat (NAF) rotating linear dilaton black holes (RLDBHs) in 4-dimensions. We show that both radial and angular wave equations can be solved in terms of the hypergeometric functions. The exact greybody factor (GF), the absorption cross-section (ACS), and the decay rate (DR) for the massless scalar waves are computed for these black holes (BHs). The results obtained for ACS and DR are discussed through graphs.

Keywords: Greybody factor, Absorption Cross-section, Decay Rate, Dilaton, Axion, Klein-Gordon Equation, Hypergeometric Functions

1. Introduction

Hawking's semiclassical study [1] on BHs showed that BHs emit particles from their "edge", known as the event horizon. This phenomenon is known as Hawking radiation (HR), named after Hawking. In fact, HR arises from the steady conversion of quantum vacuum fluctuations around into the pairs of particles, one of which escaping at spatial infinity (SI) while the other is trapped inside the event horizon. Calculations of HR reveal a characteristic blackbody spectrum. Thus, putting quantum mechanics and general relativity into the process, BHs become no longer "black" but obey the laws of thermodynamics. However, the spacetime geometry around BH modifies HR by the so-called GFs. Namely, an observer at SI detects not a perfect black

Email addresses: izzet.sakalli@emu.edu.tr (I. Sakalli), onur.aslan@emu.edu.tr (O. A. Aslan)

body spectrum but a modification of this since GFs are dependent upon both geometry and frequency [2].

The first papers of GFs (and its related subjects: ACS and DR) date back to the nineteen-seventies [3, 4, 5, 6, 7]. Today, although there exists numerous studies on the subject (see for example [8, 9, 10] and references therein), the number of studies regarding rotating BHs is very limited [11, 12, 13, 14, 15]. Even there have been very few studies devoted to the NAF rotating BHs [16, 17, 18]. This scarcity comes from the technical difficulty of getting exact analytical solution (EAS) to the considered wave equation. In fact, EAS method (see for example [8, 19, 20]) applies to BH geometries which depend on a radial coordinate.

In this paper, we study GF, ACS, and DR of the RLDBH in 4-dimensions, which is a solution to EMDA theory [21]. To this end, we consider the massless scalar particle and mainly follow the studies of [19, 22, 23] for using EAS method. It is worth noting that the GF problem (without considering the problem of ACS and DR) of the RLDBH was firstly considered (in broad strokes) in [18]. However, as being stated in the last paragraph of the conclusion of [18], the detailed analysis of GF problem of RLDBH is not completed, and hence it deserves more deeper research. Such an extension is one of the goals of the present paper.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 introduces RLDBH geometry, and analyzes the Klein-Gordon equation (KGE) in this geometry. The angular solution of the wave equation is given in Sect. 3. Section 4 is devoted to the radial solution. GF, ACS, and DR computations are considered in Sect. 5. The paper ends with a conclusion in Sect. 6.

2. RLDBH in the EMDA Theory and KGE

In the Boyer–Lindquist coordinates, the metric of RLDBH which is the stationary axisymmetric EMDA BH [21] is given by

$$ds^2 = -f dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{f} + h\left[d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta\left(d\varphi - a\frac{dt}{h}\right)^2\right], \tag{1}$$

with the metric function

$$f = \frac{\Delta}{h}, \tag{2}$$

where $h = rr_0$ in which r_0 is a positive constant. In fact, r_0 is related to the background electric charge and finely tunes the dilaton and axion [21] fields, which are associated with the dark matter [24, 25]. Besides

$$\Delta = (r - r_+)(r - r_-), \tag{3}$$

where r_+ and r_- are the outer (event) and inner (Cauchy) horizons, respectively, given by the zeros of g_{tt}:

$$r_\pm = M \pm \sqrt{M^2 - a^2}, \tag{4}$$

where M is associated with the quasilocal mass (M_{QL}) via $M = 2M_{QL}$ [26], and a denotes the rotation parameter, which also tunes the both dilaton and axion fields [21]. One can immediately see from Eq. (4) that having a BH is conditional on $M \geq a$. Otherwise, there is no horizon and the spacetime corresponds to a BH with a naked singularity at $r = 0$. The angular momentum (J) and a are related in the following way: $ar_0 = 2J$. When a vanishes, RLDBH reduces to its static form, the so-called linear dilaton black hole (LDBH) metric. For studies of LDBH, the reader is referred to [27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38]. The area (A_{BH}), Hawking temperature (T^H_{RLDBH}) and angular velocity (Ω_H) at the horizon are found to be [23]

$$A_{BH} = 4\pi r_0 r_+, \tag{5}$$

$$T^H_{RLDBH} = \frac{\kappa}{2\pi} = \left.\frac{\partial_r f}{4\pi}\right|_{r=r_+} = \frac{r_+ - r_-}{4\pi r_0 r_+}, \tag{6}$$

$$\Omega_H = 2\frac{J}{r_0^2 r_+} = \frac{a}{r_0 r_+}. \tag{7}$$

It is worth noting that T^H_{RLDBH} vanishes at the extremal limit $M = a$, i.e., $r_+ = r_-$. Moreover, as $a \to 0$ ($r_- \to 0$), $T^H_{RLDBH} \to T^H_{LDBH} = \frac{1}{4\pi r_0}$ which is independent of the mass of the BH, and points an isothermal HR [27, 32].

The massless KGE equation in a curved spacetime is given by

$$\partial_\mu \left(\sqrt{-g} g^{\mu\upsilon} \partial_\upsilon \Psi\right) = 0. \tag{8}$$

It is straightforward to show that Eq. (8) separates for the solution ansatz of the form $\Psi = \psi(r, \theta) e^{i(m\varphi - \omega t)}$, where m and ω are constant associated with

rotation in the φ-direction and frequency, respectively. Thus, we can obtain the following master equation

$$\partial_r\left(\Delta\partial_r\psi\right)+\frac{\partial_\theta(\sin\theta\partial_\theta\psi)}{\sin\theta}+\left[\frac{(h\omega-am)^2}{hf}-\left(\frac{m}{\sin\theta}\right)^2\right]\psi=0. \tag{9}$$

If we let $\psi = R(r)\Theta(\theta)$, Eq. (9) is separated into radial and angular equations as follows

$$\Delta\partial_r\left(\Delta\partial_r R\right)+\left[(h\omega-am)^2-\lambda\Delta\right]R=0, \tag{10}$$

$$\partial_\theta(\sin\theta\partial_\theta\Theta)+\sin\theta\left[\lambda-\left(\frac{m}{\sin\theta}\right)^2\right]\Theta=0, \tag{11}$$

where λ denotes the eigenvalue.

3. Solution of the Angular Equation

In order to have the general solution to Eq. (10), we introduce a new dimensionless variable as follows

$$z=\frac{1-\cos\theta}{2}, \tag{12}$$

so that Eq. (11) becomes

$$z(1-z)\partial_{yy}\Theta+(1-2z)\partial_y\Theta+\left[\frac{4z(z-1)\lambda+m^2}{4z(z-1)}\right]\Theta=0. \tag{13}$$

One can rewrite the factor of Θ in the third term of Eq. (13) as follows

$$\frac{4\lambda z(z-1)+m^2}{4z(z-1)}=\lambda-\frac{m^2}{4z}+\frac{m^2}{4(z-1)}, \tag{14}$$

Letting

$$\Theta=\left(\frac{1-z}{z}\right)^{\frac{m}{2}}\Phi(z), \tag{15}$$

equation (13) is transformed into

$$z(1-z)\partial_{zz}\Phi+\left[\overline{c}-(1+\overline{a}+\overline{b})z\right]\partial_z\Phi-\overline{a}\overline{b}\Phi=0. \tag{16}$$

The above equation resembles the standard hypergeometric equation [39] whose solution is given by

$$\Phi = C_1 F\left(\overline{a}, \overline{b}; \overline{c}; z\right) + C_2 z^{1-\overline{c}} F\left(\overline{a} - \overline{c} + 1, \overline{b} - \overline{c} + 1; 2 - \overline{c}; z\right), \tag{17}$$

where $F\left(\overline{a}, \overline{b}; \overline{c}; z\right)$ is the standard (Gaussian) hypergeometric function [39], and C_1, C_2 are integration constants. By performing a few algebraic manipulations, one obtains the following identities

$$\overline{a} = \frac{1}{2}\left(1 - \sqrt{4\lambda + 1}\right), \tag{18}$$

$$\overline{b} = 1 - \overline{a} = \frac{1}{2}\left(1 + \sqrt{4\lambda + 1}\right), \tag{19}$$

$$\overline{c} = 1 - m. \tag{20}$$

Consequently, the general angular solution reads

$$\Theta = C_1 \left(\frac{1-z}{z}\right)^{\frac{m}{2}} F\left(\overline{a}, \overline{b}; \overline{c}; z\right) + C_2 \left[z(1-z)\right]^{\frac{m}{2}} F\left(\overline{a} - \overline{c} + 1, \overline{b} - \overline{c} + 1; 2 - \overline{c}; z\right). \tag{21}$$

However, we need the normalized angular solution [40]. For this purpose, we initially set $C_2 = 0$, and assign the eigenvalue to

$$\lambda = l(l+1), \tag{22}$$

where $l = 0, 1, 2, 3...$ Using the following transformation [41]

$$F(\overline{a}, 1 - \overline{a}; \overline{c}; z) = \left(\frac{-z}{1-z}\right)^{(1-\overline{c})/2} P\left(-\overline{a}, 1 - \overline{c}, 1 - 2z\right), \tag{23}$$

where P denotes the associated Legendre polynomials [39], we re-express

$$\Theta = \widehat{C}_1 P(l, m, 1 - 2z), \tag{24}$$

which can rewritten as

$$\Theta = \widehat{C}_1 P(l, m, \cos\theta), \tag{25}$$

where $\widehat{C}_1 = C_1(-1)^{\frac{m}{2}}$. Employing the orthonomality relation [40] for the associated Legendre functions and taking $e^{im\varphi}$ into account, we obtain the physical angular solution in terms of the spherical harmonics [41]:

$$Y_{l,m}(\theta,\varphi) = e^{im\varphi}\sqrt{\frac{(2l+1)\,(l-m)!}{4\pi(l+m)!}}P(l,m,\cos\theta), \tag{26}$$

where the index l corresponds to the well-known azimuthal quantum number, and m denotes the magnetic quantum number (integer) with $-l \leq m \leq l$.

4. Solution of the Radial Equation

In this section, we give the exact analytical solution of the radial equation. While doing this, we borrow the ideas from the recent study of Sakalli [23], which is about the area quantization of the RLDBH.

Using the following substitution

$$y = \frac{r - r_+}{r_- - r_+}, \tag{27}$$

one can rewrite Eq. (10) as

$$y(1-y)\partial_{yy}R + (1-2y)\partial_y R + \left(\frac{A^2}{y} - \frac{B^2}{1-y} + C\right)R = 0, \tag{28}$$

by which the constants are given by

$$A = \frac{\omega r_0 r_+ - ma}{r_+ - r_-} = \frac{\widetilde{\omega}}{2\kappa}, \tag{29}$$

$$B = i\left(\frac{\omega r_0 r_- - ma}{r_+ - r_-}\right), \tag{30}$$

$$C = \lambda - \omega^2 r_0^2. \tag{31}$$

where $\widetilde{\omega} = \omega - m\Omega_H$ denotes the wave frequency detected by the observer rotating with the horizon [21, 42]. Using the following ansatz

$$R(y) = (-y)^{iA}\,(1-y)^{-B}\mathcal{R}(y), \tag{32}$$

equation (28) reduces to the hypergeometric differential equation [39]

$$y(1-y)\partial_{yy}\mathcal{R}\left[\widehat{c}-(1+\widehat{a}+\widehat{b})y\right]\partial_y\mathcal{R}-\widehat{a}\widehat{b}\mathcal{R}=0, \tag{33}$$

whose solution has the same form as Eq. (17). The parameters of Eq. (33) are given by

$$\widehat{a}=\frac{1}{2}(1+\sqrt{1+4C})+iA-B, \tag{34}$$

$$\widehat{b}=\frac{1}{2}(1-\sqrt{1+4C})+iA-B, \tag{35}$$

$$\widehat{c}=1+2iA, \tag{36}$$

or

$$\widehat{a}=\frac{1}{2}+ir_0\left(\omega+\widehat{\omega}\right), \tag{37}$$

$$\widehat{b}=\frac{1}{2}+ir_0\left(\omega-\widehat{\omega}\right), \tag{38}$$

$$\widehat{c}=1+i\frac{\widetilde{\omega}}{\kappa}, \tag{39}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\omega} &= \sqrt{\omega^2-\frac{1}{r_0^2}\left(\lambda+\frac{1}{4}\right)},\\ &= \sqrt{\omega^2-\left(\frac{l+1/2}{r_0}\right)^2}.\end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

Inspired by the work of Fernando [19], we only consider the modes having the real values of $\widehat{\omega}$ (analogous to the Breitenlohner-Freedman bound [43]), which correspond to the following frequencies

$$\omega\geq\frac{l+1/2}{r_0}. \tag{41}$$

Finally, the general radial solution of Eq. (28) becomes

$$R(y) = D_1 (-y)^{iA} (1-y)^{-B} F\left(\widehat{a}, \widehat{b}; \widehat{c}; y\right) + D_2 (-y)^{-iA} (1-y)^{-B} F\left(\widehat{a} - \widehat{c} + 1, \widehat{b} - \widehat{c} + 1; 2 - \widehat{c}; y\right), \quad (42)$$

where D_1, D_2 are integration constants.

5. GF, ACS, and DR Computations

In general, GF depends on the behavior of the radial function both at the horizon and at asymptotic infinity. Namely, GF is defined by the flux $\mathcal{F}$, and ACS and DR are the consequences of GF.

Using Taylor series expansion, we can expand the metric function f around the event horizon ($r \to r_+$ or $y \to 0$):

$$\begin{aligned} f_{NH} &\simeq \partial_r f|_{r=r_+} (r - r_+) + O(r - r_+)^2, \\ &\simeq 2\kappa (r_+ - r_-) x, \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

where $x = -y$, and subscript NH denotes the near-horizon. Using the definition of the tortoise coordinate (r^*) [44], we obtain NH form of r^* as

$$r^* \simeq \int \frac{dr}{f_{NH}} = \int \frac{dx}{2\kappa x} = \frac{1}{2\kappa} \ln x, \quad (44)$$

which yields

$$x = e^{2\kappa r_*}. \quad (45)$$

Thus, we obtain the following NH solution

$$R_{NH} \simeq D_1 e^{i\widetilde{\omega} r_*} + D_2 e^{-i\widetilde{\omega} r_*}. \quad (46)$$

Correspondingly, NH partial wave is given by

$$\Psi_{NH} \simeq D_1 e^{i(\widetilde{\omega} r_* - \omega t)} + D_2 e^{-i(\widetilde{\omega} r_* + \omega t)}. \quad (47)$$

Now, we interpret D_1 and D_2 as the amplitudes of the NH outgoing and ingoing waves, respectively. However, we need the physical solution that reduces to the ingoing wave at the horizon. Therefore, we simply set $D_1 = 0$, and the general solution (42) reduces to

$$R(y) = D_2 \left(x\right)^{-iA} \left(1+x\right)^{-B} F\left(\widehat{a}-\widehat{c}+1, \widehat{b}-\widehat{c}+1; 2-\widehat{c}; y\right). \tag{48}$$

Near SI ($r \to \infty$), the metric function f becomes

$$f_{SI} \simeq \frac{r}{r_0}, \tag{49}$$

and the tortoise coordinate reads

$$r^* \simeq \int \frac{dr}{f_{SI}} = r_0 \ln r. \tag{50}$$

Therefore, the variable x at SI behaves as

$$x \simeq \frac{r}{r_+ - r_-} = \frac{e^{\frac{r^*}{r_0}}}{r_+ - r_-}. \tag{51}$$

Thus at SI while r,$r^* \to \infty$, $x \to \infty$ (or $y \to -\infty$). Using the following inverse transformation formula [39, 41]

$$\begin{aligned} F(\widetilde{a}, \widetilde{b}; \widetilde{c}; z) &= (-z)^{-\widetilde{a}} \frac{\Gamma(\widetilde{c})\Gamma(\widetilde{b}-\widetilde{a})}{\Gamma(\widetilde{b})\Gamma(\widetilde{c}-\widetilde{a})} F(\widetilde{a}, \widetilde{a}+1-\widetilde{c}; \widetilde{a}+1-\widetilde{b}; 1/z) + \\ &\quad (-z)^{-\widetilde{b}} \frac{\Gamma(\widetilde{c})\Gamma(\widetilde{a}-\widetilde{b})}{\Gamma(\widetilde{a})\Gamma(\widetilde{c}-\widetilde{b})} F(\widetilde{b}, \widetilde{b}+1-\widetilde{c}; \widetilde{b}+1-\widetilde{a}; 1/z), \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

and substituting Eq. (51) in Eq (48), after performing a few algebraic manipulations, we obtain the partial wave at SI as follows

$$\Psi_{SI} \simeq \frac{\sqrt{r_+ - r_-}}{\sqrt{r}} \left[E_1 \left(r_+ - r_-\right)^{ir_0\widehat{\omega}} e^{-i(r^*\widehat{\omega}+\omega t)} + E_2 \left(r_+ - r_-\right)^{-ir_0\widehat{\omega}} e^{i(r^*\widehat{\omega}-\omega t)}\right], \tag{53}$$

where E_1 and E_2 correspond to the amplitudes of the asymptotic ingoing and outgoing waves, respectively. The relations between (E_1, E_2) and D_2 are

$$E_1 = \frac{\Gamma(2-\widehat{c})\Gamma(\widehat{b}-\widehat{a})}{\Gamma(\widehat{b}-\widehat{c}+1)\Gamma(1-\widehat{a})} D_2, \tag{54}$$

$$E_2 = \frac{\Gamma(2-\widehat{c})\Gamma(\widehat{a}-\widehat{b})}{\Gamma(\widehat{a}-\widehat{c}+1)\Gamma(1-\widehat{b})} D_2. \tag{55}$$

The current (J^C) [45] is defined as

$$J^C = \frac{1}{2i}\left(\overline{\Psi}\partial_{r^*}\Psi - \Psi\partial_{r^*}\overline{\Psi}\right), \tag{56}$$

where the bar over a quantity denotes the complex conjugation. The current is the flux per unit coordinate area [45]. Therefore, the ingoing flux at the horizon is

$$\mathcal{F}_{NH} = \frac{A_{BH}}{2i}\left(\overline{\Psi}_{NH}\partial_{r^*}\Psi_{NH} - \Psi_{NH}\partial_{r^*}\overline{\Psi}_{NH}\right) = -4\pi\widetilde{\omega}r_+ r_0 \left|D_2\right|^2. \tag{57}$$

Similarly, the incoming flux at SI is given by

$$\mathcal{F}_{SI} = \frac{A_{BH}}{2i}\left(\overline{\Psi}_{SI}\partial_{r^*}\Psi_{SI} - \Psi_{SI}\partial_{r^*}\overline{\Psi}_{SI}\right) = -4\pi\widehat{\omega}\left(r_+ - r_-\right) r_0 \left|D_2\right|^2 \left|\tau\right|^2, \tag{58}$$

where

$$\tau = \frac{\Gamma(2-\widehat{c})\Gamma(\widehat{b}-\widehat{a})}{\Gamma(\widehat{b}-\widehat{c}+1)\Gamma(1-\widehat{a})}. \tag{59}$$

The GF (or the absorption probability) is given by [8, 19, 46]

$$\gamma = \frac{\mathcal{F}_{NH}}{\mathcal{F}_{SI}} = \frac{\widetilde{\omega}r_+}{\widehat{\omega}\left(r_+ - r_-\right)} \left|\tau\right|^{-2}. \tag{60}$$

By substituting Eqs. (37-39) into the above equation, and using the following identities for the Gamma functions [39]

$$\left|\Gamma(iv)\right|^2 = \frac{\pi}{v\sinh\left(\pi v\right)}, \tag{61}$$

$$\left|\Gamma(1+iv)\right|^2 = \frac{\pi v}{\sinh\left(\pi v\right)}, \tag{62}$$

$$\left|\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}+iv)\right|^2 = \frac{\pi}{\cosh\left(\pi v\right)}, \tag{63}$$

equation (60) becomes

$$\gamma = \frac{\sinh\left(\frac{\pi\widetilde{\omega}}{\kappa}\right)\sinh\left(2\pi r_0\widehat{\omega}\right)}{\cosh\left(\frac{\pi\widetilde{\omega}}{\kappa}-\pi\alpha\right)\cosh\left(\pi\beta\right)}, \tag{64}$$

where

$$\alpha = r_0(\omega - \widehat{\omega}), \tag{65}$$

$$\beta = r_0(\omega + \widehat{\omega}). \tag{66}$$

One can also show that the above expression recasts in

$$\gamma = \frac{\left(e^{\frac{2\pi\widetilde{\omega}}{\kappa}}-1\right)\left(e^{4\pi r_0\widehat{\omega}}-1\right)}{\left[e^{2\pi\left(\frac{\widetilde{\omega}}{\kappa}-\alpha\right)}+1\right]\left(e^{2\pi\beta}+1\right)}. \tag{67}$$

The partial ACS for a 4-dimensional BH is given by [7, 47]

$$\sigma_{abs}^{l,m} = \frac{(2l+1)\,\pi}{\omega^2}\gamma, \tag{68}$$

which is equal to

$$\sigma_{abs}^{l,m} = \frac{(2l+1)\,\pi\left(e^{\frac{2\pi\widetilde{\omega}}{\kappa}}-1\right)\left(e^{4\pi r_0\widehat{\omega}}-1\right)}{\omega^2\left[e^{2\pi\left(\frac{\widetilde{\omega}}{\kappa}-\alpha\right)}+1\right]\left(e^{2\pi\beta}+1\right)}. \tag{69}$$

Meanwhile, one can also compute the total ACS [7, 47] via the following expression

$$\sigma_{abs}^{Total} = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty}\sigma_{abs}^{l,m}. \tag{70}$$

It is worth noting that for a S-wave ($l = 0, \therefore m = 0$) $\sigma_{abs}^{0,0}$ was shown to be equal to the area of the BH while $\omega \to 0$ by Das et al [48]. However, in this study (as in the case of 3-dimensional static charged dilaton BH [19, 22]) condition (41) restricts ω to *positive numbers*. So, we can not take $\omega \to 0$ limit to prove the result of [48]. Moreover, $\omega \to 0$ limit makes $\widehat{\omega}$ imaginary in Eq. (40). Hence, the incoming flux (58) at SI vanishes [19, 22]. This problem

could be overcome by the procedure described in [49], which computes $\sigma_{abs}^{0,0}$ at the low frequency.

$\sigma_{abs}^{0,0}$, $\sigma_{abs}^{1,1}$, $\sigma_{abs}^{2,0}$ and $\sigma_{abs}^{3,-1}$ graphs are plotted in Fig. (1). The graphs are drawn for $\omega \geq \frac{l+1/2}{r_0}$. While the locations of the peaks (local maximums) on the ω-axis (which are very close to starting frequency $\omega_l = \frac{l+1/2}{r_0}$) of $\sigma_{abs}^{l,m}$ shift towards right with increasing l-value, however the peak values decrease when l gets bigger values. Besides, every $\sigma_{abs}^{l,m}$ engrossingly follows the same line to die down while $\omega \to \infty$ (as such in [22]). Although it is not illustrated here, however it is deduced from our detailed analyzes that the behaviors of $\sigma_{abs}^{l,m}$ are almost same for the other configurations (for different values of M, a, and r_0) of the RLDBH. As a final remark, we have not observed any negative $\sigma_{abs}^{l,m}$ behavior in our analyzes. So, the superradiance [50] phenomenon (showing up itself for the waves with $\omega < m\Omega_H$) does not occur. This can be best seen by imposing the superradiance condition:

$$\omega_l < m\Omega_H \quad \to \quad l + 1/2 < \frac{ma}{M + \sqrt{M^2 - a^2}}. \tag{71}$$

However, the above inequality holds whenever $m > l$, which is an inadmissible case. Namely, the starting frequency ω_l is always greater than $m\Omega_H$.



Figure 1: Plots of the partial ACS ($\sigma^{l,m}_{abs}$) versus frequency ω. The plots are governed by Eq. (69). The configuration of the RLDBH is as follows $M = 1$, $a = 0.2$, and $r_0 = 0.5$. The starting frequencies are determined by $\omega_l = \frac{l+1/2}{r_0}$.

On the other hand, DR for a rotating BH is given by [47]

$$\Gamma^{l,m} = \frac{\sigma^{l,m}_{abs}}{e^{\widetilde{\omega}/T_H} - 1}. \tag{72}$$

Hence, using Eq. (69), we obtain

$$\Gamma^{l,m} = \frac{\pi\left(e^{4\pi r_0 \widehat{\omega}} - 1\right)}{\omega^2\left[e^{2\pi\left(\frac{\widetilde{\omega}}{\kappa} - \alpha\right)} + 1\right]\left(e^{2\pi\beta} + 1\right)}. \tag{73}$$

The four graphs for $\Gamma^{0,0}$, $\Gamma^{1,1}$, $\Gamma^{2,0}$, and $\Gamma^{3,-1}$ (each one with its own scale factor: $\times 10^{-n}$) are depicted in Fig. (2). It is clear that similar to $\sigma^{l,m}_{abs}$ the peaks of $\Gamma^{l,m}$ become smaller for increasing l-values. Also DRs quickly deplete themselves with increasing ω-values (similar plots can be seen in [19]).



Figure 2: Plots of the DR ($\Gamma^{l,m}$) versus frequency ω. The plots are governed by Eq. (73). The configuration of the RLDBH is as follows $M = 1$, $a = 0.2$, and $r_0 = 0.5$. The starting frequencies are determined by $\omega_l = \frac{l+1/2}{r_0}$.

6. Conclusion

The propagation and dynamic evolution of the massless scalar field in the background of the RLDBH with the condition of Eq. (41) (inspired by the study of [19]) have been studied. We have obtained an exact solution for the KGE in the whole geometry. Then, imposing the boundary conditions at the event horizon and SI, we have found an analytical expression (67) for the GF of the scalar fields propagating in the RLDBH spacetime. In the sequel, we have computed the exact ACS and DR for the RLDBHs. In Figs. (1) and (2), we have demonstrated that both ACS and DR (starting from $\omega_l = \frac{l+1/2}{r_0}$) expeditiously reach to their peak values and then approach to

zero with increasing frequency. However, the peaks of DRs are more smaller comparing with the ACS ones for the same configured RLDBH. Moreover, it is seen that negative ACS, which implies the superradiance [50] is not possible for the waves satisfying the condition of Eq. (41). This is due to the inequality given in Eq. (71). Moreover, for the lower frequencies ($0 \leq \omega < \frac{l+1/2}{r_0}$) we have shown that our calculations lead to zero incoming flux (58) at SI (similar to [19, 22]). To overcome this problem, one could follow the steps given in [49]. However, this aspect was beyond the scope of the present paper.

In future, we plan to extend our analysis to the fermion perturbations, which are performed by the virtue of the Dirac equation in 4-dimensions [44, 51]. In this way, we will analyze the ACS and DR of the RLDBHs using fermion GFs.

Acknowledgement

The authors are grateful to the editor and anonymous referee for their valuable comments and suggestions to improve the paper.

References

[1] S. W. Hawking, Commun. Math. Phys. **43**, 199 (1975); **46**, 206 (E) (1976).

[2] J. Maldacena and A. Strominger, Phys. Rev. D **55**, 861 (1996).

[3] A. A. Starobinskii, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **64**, 48 (1973).

[4] A. A. Starobinskii and S. M. Churilov, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **65**, 3 (1973).

[5] D. N. Page, Phys. Rev. D **13**, 198 (1976).

[6] D. N. Page, Phys. Rev. D **14**, 3260 (1976).

[7] W. G. Unruh, Phys. Rev. D **14**, 3251 (1976).

[8] T. Harmark, J. Natario, and R. Schiappa, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **14**, 727 (2010).

[9] P. Gonzalez, E. Papantonopoulos, and J. Saavedra, JHEP **08**, 050, (2010).

[10] P. Kanti, T. Pappas, and N. Pappas, Phys. Rev. D **90**, 124077 (2014).

[11] M. Cvetic and F. Larsen, Nucl. Phys. B **506**, 107 (1997).

[12] M. Cvetic and F. Larsen, Phys. Rev. D **56**, 4994 (1997).

[13] D. Ida, K. Oda, and S. C. Park, Phys. Rev. D **67**, 064025 (2003); **69**, 049901 (E) (2004).

[14] S. Chen, B. Wang, and J. Jing, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 064030 (2008).

[15] R. Jorge, E. S. de Oliveira, and J. V. Rocha, Class. Quantum Grav. **32**, 065008 (2015).

[16] J. Doukas, H. T. Cho, A. S. Cornell, and W. Naylor, Phys. Rev. D **80**, 045021 (2009).

[17] M. Cvetic, Fortsch. Phys. **48**, 65 (2000).

[18] R. Li, Eur. Phys. J. C **73**, 2296 (2013).

[19] S. Fernando, Gen. Relativ. Gravit. **37**, 461 (2005).

[20] T. Ngampitipan and P. Boonserm, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **22**, 1350058 (2013).

[21] G. Clément, D. Galtsov, and C. Leygnac, Phys. Rev. D **71**, 084014 (2005).

[22] Y. S. Myung, Y. W. Kim, and Y. J. Park, Eur. Phys. J. C **58**, 617 (2008).

[23] I. Sakalli, Eur. Phys. J. C **75**, 144 (2015).

[24] L. Visinelli and P. Gondolo, Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, 011802 (2014).

[25] A. Arvanitaki, J. Huang, and K. V. Tilburg, Phys. Rev. D **91**, 015015 (2015).

[26] J. D. Brown and J. W. York, Phys. Rev. D **47**, 1407 (1993).

[27] G. Clément, J. C. Fabris, and G. T. Marques, Phys. Lett. B **651**, 54 (2007).

[28] H. Pasaoglu and I. Sakalli, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **48**, 3517 (2009).

[29] S. H. Mazharimousavi, I. Sakalli, and M. Halilsoy, Phys. Lett. B **672**, 177 (2009).

[30] S. H. Mazharimousavi, M. Halilsoy, I. Sakalli, and O. Gurtug, Class. Quantum Grav. **27**, 105005 (2010).

[31] I. Sakalli, Int. J. Theor. Phys **50**, 2426 (2011).

[32] I. Sakalli, M. Halilsoy, and H. Pasaoglu, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **50**, 3212 (2011).

[33] I. Sakalli, M. Halilsoy, and H. Pasaoglu, Astrophys. Space Sci. **340**, 155 (2012): Erratum: **357**, 95 (2015).

[34] I. Sakalli, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **26**, 2263 (2011): Erratum: **28**, 1392002 (2013).

[35] I. Sakalli, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **28**, 1350109 (2013).

[36] I. Sakalli and S. F. Mirekhtiary, J. Exp. Theor. Phys. **117**, 656 (2013).

[37] I. Sakalli, A. Ovgun, and S. F. Mirekhtiary, Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys. **11**, 1450074 (2014).

[38] I. Sakalli and A. Ovgun, EPL **110**, 10008 (2015).

[39] M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun, *Handbook of Mathematical Functions* (Dover, New York, 1965).

[40] M. Morimoto, *Analytic Functionals on the Sphere, Vol. 178* (American Mathematical Society, Rhode Island, 1998).

[41] F. W. J. Olver, D. W. Lozier, R. F. Boisvert, and C. W. Clark, *NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions* (Cambridge University Press, New York, 2010).

[42] A. A. Starobinsky, Soviet Physics JETP **37**, 28 (1973).

[43] P. Breitenlohner and D. Z. Freedman, Phys. Lett. B **115**, 197 (1982).

[44] S. Chandrasekhar, *The Mathematical Theory of Black Holes* (Oxford University Press, New York, 1983).

[45] C. Ding, S. Chen, and J. Jing, Phys. Rev. D **82**, 024031 (2010).

[46] C. Campuzano, P. Gonzalez, E. Rojas, and J. Saavedra, JHEP **06**, 103(2010).

[47] C. Q. Liu, Chin. Phys. Lett. **27**, 040401 (2010).

[48] S. R. Das, G. Gibbons, and S. D. Mathur, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 417, (1997).

[49] D. B. Birmingham, I. Sachs, and S. Sen, Phys. Lett. B **413**, 281 (1997).

[50] R. Brito, V. Cardoso, and P. Pani, Lect. Notes Phys. **906**, (2015).

[51] A. Al-Badawi and I. Sakalli, J. Math. Phys. **49**, 052501 (2008).